cautioning you about having your client represent that without having some kind of authentication, independent authentication.

MR. LYON: Right. And this is the authentication that we could find.

MS. LANCASTER: And, your Honor, this may be the authentication that the polygraph portion was dismissed, but it does not address the pornography charge that -- Mr. Titus indicated he only went once, and it had to do with pornography.

MR. LYON: Well, perhaps there was If you want to go get the rest of only one. the court record then I think -- your Honor, I'm sorry. If they want to go get the rest of the court record and show us there was more than one hearing, I think that's fine. haven't done so, and they plainly presented the entire record. This is plainly part of the record. If they want to argue that it doesn't mean anything, they're more than welcome to do it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor --But I don't understand 2. MR. LYON: 3 their objection. MS. LANCASTER: Well, I haven't 4 5 made an objection yet. I've asked questions and pointed out that this is not really 6 7 responsive to the charge that we discussed, 8 the testimony discussed yesterday. I have no 9 problem. It's a Benton County court record. 10 It can come in. I just want to point out that 11 the discussion yesterday had to do 12 pornography, and this doesn't say a thing 13 about pornography. 14 MR. LYON: Your Honor, I think the 15 record shows that. And, apparently, 16 counsel has no objection to admission of the 17 document then I move for its admission, and 18 counsel is more than welcome to argue anything 19 that counsel wishes to argue. I'm sure there 20 won't be any problems with counsel formulating 2.1 such an argument.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm just reading to

myself here, I quess. What is that? Concedes with reservations? Z is the defense attorney. The clerk said that this is a very cryptic The judge denies the note by the clerk. That date was October 25, state's motion. 1996, and what we have here is an Exhibit 4 at page 45 that's signed off August 12th, 1996, "Recommendation: schedule saving, hearing to address these noncompliance violations."

MS. LANCASTER: Right.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I have no reason to question anything about what this, unless you're going to show me other evidence, this evidence is not being objected to on the basis of its accuracy or its originality. I mean, this is not -- he gave us the flow of the correspondence, from him to the clerk, the clerk got back to him and said here's your document. It came out of the clerk's office, no question about that. It's just a question of what, you know, it is not a very concise

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 statement of exactly what was being handled in 2 this paper that is Exhibit 15, but I have to 3 assume it came out as a business record that 4 they meant to dismiss the proceeding --5 Well, your Honor, MS. LANCASTER: it doesn't talk about all the violations --6 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know it doesn't. 8 I understand that. I said that it is not what 9 we would like to see as a full and concise 10 statement of what was transacted in that 11 court. These courts are very busy places, and 12 this is not, to me -- I mean, I don't have 13 that much experience, but my experience in small state county courts are they move things 14 15 along in a very, very, in a very inexpensive 16 and a very efficient way. 17 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, we 18 have no problems with it coming in for the 19 limited purpose to address what it says. 20 mean, I just wanted to point out that 21 really doesn't address the violation,

know, all the violations that are in the --

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: We all can read
2	English, Ms. Lancaster. And if the burden of
3	proof rests with the government, if you're not
4	satisfied with the proof with respect to this,
5	I'm taking from what I'm hearing is that
6	counsel for Mr. Titus, Mr. Lyon, is willing to
7	have the record left open while you can go try
8	and find more about this. I'm not so
9	inclined. So unless you come in early next
LO	week with some kind of a motion to produce
L1	more evidence on this, this matter is going to
L2	be closed.
L3	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Your Honor,
L4	at a previous conference, we discussed the
5	possibility of rebuttal evidence at some
-6	future date.
_7	JUDGE SIPPEL: That was on his
_8	part.
_9	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: We are also
20	considering rebuttal evidence. You said we'd
21	consider it at some future date.

MS. LANCASTER: We would like to

1	present rebuttal evidence, your Honor.
2	MR. LYON: Your Honor, we were
3	never entitled to present rebuttal evidence.
4	They have
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: You have the burden
6	of proof. They have the burden of well,
7	they don't have a burden. All they have to do
8	is just respond to your proof.
9	MS. LANCASTER: Normally, we are
10	entitled to rebut his witnesses.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: You've never
12	alerted me to this before.
13	MS. LANCASTER: Well, because we
14	thought it was going to be a discussion at the
15	end of the hearing. You said you would
16	consider it later.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I was talking about
18	- -
19	MS. LANCASTER: We thought you
20	said that. I'm sorry.
21	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: When the
22	transcript said only his, we apologize. Our

1	understanding in our discussion of it was the	
2	judge said don't bring up rebuttal until after	
3	the hearing and then he'll discuss it. I	
4	think that our understanding, you know, was	
5	not unreasonable.	
6	. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm hearing this	
7	for the very first time from your side of the	
8	table. In fact, Mr. Lyon has his proposed	
9	testimony of possible rebuttal witnesses.	
10	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Well, your	
11	Honor, you didn't really hear it for the first	
12	time because we asked for the test results to	
13	be delivered to our psychologist. You know,	
14	implicit in that is that we have a	
15	psychologist you haven't seen.	
16	MR. LYON: Your Honor, they have	
17	had the opportunity to have those test	
18	results. They took the deposition of this	
19	witness. They reviewed the	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this Dr. Allmon?	
21	MR. LYON: Yes. They reviewed the	
22	test results.	

1 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I must 2 tell you, however, that we didn't have the opportunity to hear Mr. Titus' oral testimony 3 to the extent that he testified the last two 4 5 days. And I believe that we have rebuttal 6 evidence concerning that testimony that we 7 would like to present. 8 MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: Also, 9 substantial evidence that we have never seen 10 before came in with respect to the models used by Detective Shilling. So what we'd really 11 like to do is see the transcript and see if 12 13 there's a need for rebuttal testimony, which procedure was 14 thought was the that contemplated at the conference call. And I 15 didn't see a need to discuss whether or not we 16

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm just telling you this takes me totally by surprise because exactly what I said.

would have rebuttal evidence if we were told

that it would be visited after the taking of

direct evidence.

17

18

19

20

21

1	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I didn't
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Lyon was making
3	a point early on in this proceeding that he
4	might want some rebuttal. He was very serious
5	about needing rebuttal testimony. You're
6	putting the evidence on. You've got the
7	burden of proof. He's the defendant.
8	MR. KNOWLES-KELLETT: I think in
9	response to his rebuttal I said could we defer
10	to see if we actually need rebuttal, and I
11	intended to mean everybody's rebuttal, your
12	Honor, on our conference call.
13	MS. LANCASTER: We certainly had
14	no intent to pull the wool over your eyes or
15	deceive you in any way about anything, your
16	Honor. We just understood
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: I didn't say that.
18	Don't put words in my mouth on that.
19	MS. LANCASTER: Oh, I'm not. I
20	don't mean it as putting words in your mouth.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you certainly
22	sound like you are. Now, look, the reason I

gave you the reasons is because I didn't hear it coming from your side of the table. heard it from him and Mr. Lyon, and he came in with proposed testimony on the point. all. Maybe I overlooked something. I'm going to have to set up a motion schedule.

MR. LYON: Your Honor, if I could be heard on this, as to Mr. Titus' testimony again, they took his deposition. extent his testimony is inconsistent with anything in his deposition, they certainly have the right to impeach him. They've had his written testimony for months. And, again, they did not choose to have him examined by a psychologist. They knew that Dr. Allmon was preparing a psychosexual evaluation. They've had it, again, for months. My request for rebuttal testimony was solely in connection with the use of actuarials. I think it is very apparent from the testimony of the government's own witness that there are severe problems with the Washington actuarial test.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

At this point, I don't think that there's anything more I need to say about actuarials. In fact, out of the witness' own mouth, he admits that the agency that the state commissioned to examine the tool found severe problems with it. So at this point, I don't see the need for rebuttal.

And I think, yes, I put on a direct case. I wasn't required to, but that direct case has been exchanged for months.

Obviously, both sides feel very strongly about their positions. The only thing I can do, and I'm sorry to have to put you through the expense of this, is to let you prepare and file a motion for leave to put on a rebuttal case. Be very specific in terms of witnesses and exactly what you intend to prove and why and show me the justification and transcript references. You may have an opportunity, of course, to respond to it. This is all going to be a one-way street. I don't want this

1	back and forth no reply pleadings on this.	
2	MR. LYON: Okay. Understand, your	
3	Honor, I may feel the need after seeing what	
4	they intend to present, I may feel the need to	
5	present a witness in response. And I think,	
6	again, I would have that right.	
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean rebut or	
8	rebuttal, a surrebuttal I think they call it -	
9	-	
10	MR. LYON: Yes, your Honor.	
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: or we call it.	
12	MR. LYON: I hope that won't be	
13	necessary.	
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I guess it's a	
15	judge's worst dream. All right. I'm	
16	listening very carefully to what everybody is	
17	saying here. I'm just going to have to put it	
18	on that basis. You're going to have to	
19	justify it, and you've got the burden on the	
20	motion of preponderance of persuasion. And	
21	how much time do you need to put the motion	

together?

1	MR. LYON: I guess they'll need
2	the transcript first, your Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that comes in
4	when? Ten days?
5	COURT REPORTER: Ten days from
6	today for this session, and I think the 25th
7	is
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: The 25th is next
9	Friday.
10	COURT REPORTER: That's the one
11	for the 14th. And then the session from the
12	15th would be the 28th, and today's session
13	would be the 29th. We have a ten-day
14	turnaround time.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let's
16	go off the record for just a minute. Let's
17	count days here without running any tab.
18	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
19	went off the record at 6:24 p.m. and went back
20	on the record at 6:28 p.m.)
21	· JUDGE SIPPEL: Last item of
22	business, I contemplated accepting the

1	National Guidelines in by way of official
2	notice. And after on and off-the-record
3	discussions with counsel and considering it
4	myself, I don't think we need to put this in
5	the record. That's all. So I'm not going to
6	burden the record with this hefty set of
7	guidelines, but I appreciate having them
8	because there may be a purpose for referring
9	to them in some way, shape, or form. Thank
_0	you very much.
L1	That concludes the hearing part of
12	this case, unless, unless I have to set up a
13	rebuttal schedule. And I will wait until I
14	determine the rebuttal motion before I set
15	dates on proposed findings and conclusions.
16	All right? Does everybody agree with that?
17	MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. So
19	that's it. That's it. Nothing more.
20	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
21	was concluded at 6:29 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

David L. Titus	
Name of Hearing	
EB DOCKET NO. 07-13	·
Docket No. (if appl	icable)
445 12 ^{to} STREET, S.W	N., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
July 16, 2008	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 771 through complete transcript Samuel Wojac at the above identi provisions of the c professional verbat Work and have verif transcript by (1) c the reporting or recomparing the final	, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1134, inclusive, are the true, accurate and prepared from the reporting by k (Reporter's Name) in attendance fied hearing, in accordance with applicable urrent Federal Communications Commission's im reporting and transcription statement of ied the accuracy of the accuracy of the omparing the typewritten transcript against cording accomplished at the hearings and (2) proofed typewritten transcript against the ing accomplished at the hearing or conference. Samuel Wojack
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
July 30, 2008	Sonja Moore Juny Number
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal Gross Co
July 30, 2008	Ian Roberts Mulium
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.